

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/918,760	08/01/2001	Steven R. Moore	D/A1143	2731
7590 10/15/2003			EXAMINER	
Patent Documentation Center			NGUYEN, HOAI AN D	
Xerox Corporation			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Xerox Square 20th Floor 100 Clinton Ave. S.			2854	6
Rochester, NY 14644			DATE MAILED: 10/15/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/918,760	MOORE ET AL.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hoai-An D. Nguyen	2854				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appe	ears n the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offil imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fortilater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF Total date on which the petition under 37 Clof extension and the corresponding amonth that the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the markets.	ng date of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ount of the fee. The appropriate extension or originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancelNOTE: .	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. ☐ Other:	a	Lu Hell				
	ANI SUPERVI	DREW H. HIRSHFELD SORY PATENT EXAMINER NOLOGY CENTER 2800				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner did give all claims the broadest interpretations under 35 U.S.C. 112, 6th paragraph. Therefore, the final rejection is deemed proper, and the applicant's arguments are not persuasive.